Mycophenolate aids FVC in interstitial lung disease

At 1 year, mean FVC% rose by 4.9%.

BY DENISE NAPOLI
IMNG Medical News

Mycophenolate mofetil was associated with stabilization or improvement of predicted forced vital capacity in patients with connective tissue disease–associated interstitial lung disease. Moreover, the drug was safe and well tolerated in this population over a median 2.5 years of use, wrote Dr. Aryeh Fischer and his colleagues in a study published online in the Journal of Rheumatology.

In the retrospective study, the drug “was well tolerated and efficacious and allowed for corticosteroid tapering,” they added. “Prospective studies of MMF (mycophenolate mofetil) are indicated to further define the role of MMF in the treatment of CTD-ILD [connective tissue disease–associated interstitial lung disease],” Dr. Fischer of the autoimmune and interstitial lung disease program at National Jewish Health in Denver and his colleagues looked at the medical records of all patients who received MMF and were treated by an interstitial lung disease specialist at his facility between January 2008 and January 2011.

See Lung disease • page 8

Macrolides tame bronchiectasis

BY SARA FREEMAN
IMNG Medical News

Low-dose macrolide antibiotics given for 12 months significantly reduced pulmonary exacerbations in non–cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis, according to findings from two randomized, controlled trials. However, antibiotic resistance concerns could temper the use of such an approach in clinical practice, the studies’ investigators cautioned.

In BLESS (Bronchiectasis and Low-Dose Erythromycin Study), the annualized mean rate of pulmonary exacerbations per patient per year was 1.29 in patients treated with erythromycin, compared with 1.97 in those given placebo (JAMA 2013;309:1260-7).

In the BAT (Bronchiectasis and Long-Term Macrolides) Study, the mean annualized rate of exacerbations was 1.58 (95% CI 0.87-2.29). In the BAT study, the mean annualized rate of exacerbations was 1.58 (95% CI 0.87-2.29). The BLESS study was also conducted in Europe and Asia, and the BAT study was conducted in the U.S. and New Zealand. The researchers noted that the results of both studies were consistent with previous findings from a retrospective analysis of data from the International Childhood Bronchiectasis Study (J Pediatr 2011;158:113-20).

See Bronchiectasis • page 9

EHR Frustration
One in three profess loss of productivity

BY ALICIA AULT
IMNG Medical News

NEW ORLEANS – Frustrated with your electronic medical record system? Getting increasingly irritated? You most definitely are not alone.

A survey of thousands of physicians across multiple specialties shows that user satisfaction with electronic health records fell 12% from 2010 to 2012.

The survey was conducted by the American College of Physicians and AmericanEHR Partners, an online agent that helps physicians select and evaluate health information technology. It is supported by 16 medical societies and five health IT organizations. “Dissatisfaction is increasing regardless of practice type or EHR system,” said Dr. Michael S. Barr, who leads ACP’s Medical Practice, Professionalism & Quality division. “These findings highlight the need for the Meaningful Use program and EHR manufacturers to focus on improving EHR features and usability.”

See Survey • page 5

IN INSIDE

Glucocorticoids
All glucocorticoids linked to at least twice the risk of VTE. • 2

Azithromycin and arrhythmia
Azithromycin is associated with risk for fatal arrhythmia, FDA says. • 3

Practice Trends
EHR audits
CMS takes a closer look before paying out incentives. • 5

Pulmonary Medicine
Steroids and CAP
Steroids may decrease length of stay in pneumonia. • 8

News From the College
Pulmonary perspectives
Resurging bronchiectasis. • 22

INSIGHT • PERSPECTIVE • INSPIRATION

CHEST 2013
OCTOBER 26-31, 2013

A GLOBAL COMMUNITY IN CLINICAL CHEST MEDICINE
ACCPMEETING.ORG

CHEST 2013
INSPIRE

CHICAGO
INSIGHT. PERSPECTIVE. INSPIRATION.

PULMONARY • CRITICAL CARE • SLEEP MEDICINE

© 2013 ACCP
ACCPMEETING.ORG
All glucocorticoids linked to increased risk of VTE

BY MARY ANN MOON

Medical News use of all glucocorticoids is associated with a two- to threefold increased risk of venous thromboembolism, depending on the type of glucocorticoid, the route of administration, and other factors, according to a report in JAMA.

Systemic glucocorticoids, as compared with inhaled ones or glucocorticoids that act on the intestines, were associated with the highest risk of VTE. New use was linked to higher risk than continuing or past use, and the VTE risk increased as the dose of glucocorticoids increased, said Sigrun A. Johannesdottir of the department of clinical epidemiology, Aarhus (the Netherlands) University Hospital, and her associates.

These findings are from a population-based case-control study, which cannot prove a cause-and-effect relationship. Moreover, it is difficult to statistically account for all the confounding effects of patients’ underlying disease – the reason they were taking glucocorticoids in the first place – because such disorders raise the risk of VTE directly or cause immobility that in turn can lead to VTE.

However, the timing of this adverse effect, the strength of the association across all types of glucocorticoids, and the fact that the association persisted after data were adjusted to account for multiple confounders all “increase our confidence that the results reflect a true biological effect,” investigators said. “Clinicians should be aware of this association,” they noted. Ms. Johannesdottir and her colleagues used data from several Danish national medical registries to identify all adults who were diagnosed with VTE in Denmark in 2003-2012, all patients who filled prescriptions for glucocorticoids during the study period, and all indications for the drugs as well as all relevant comorbidities. They matched 10 control subjects for age and sex from the general population to each study subject.

A total of 38,765 VTE cases and 387,650 controls were included in this study. The median age was 67 years, and slightly more than half of those studied were women. All glucocorticoid users were found to be at increased risk for VTE, particularly for pulmonary embolism, compared with nonusers, researchers said. Systemic glucocorticoids, including betamethasone, methylprednisolone, prednisolone, prednisone, triamcinolone, and hydrocortisone, raised VTE risk to the highest degree. (No subjects filled prescriptions.)

Inhaled corticosteroids and corticosteroids that act on the intestines also raised VTE risk significantly. Among the systemic glucocorticoids, prednisolone and prednisone raised VTE risk the most.

New use of glucocorticoids was associated with the highest risk of VTE, but current use, continuing use, and former use also raised the risk significantly. Oral formulations were associated with the highest risk of VTE, but injectable formulations also raised the risk significantly. Ms. Johannesdottir and her associates reported (JAMA Intern. Med. 2013 April 1 [doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.122]).

In particular, new use of systemic glucocorticoids was associated with the highest risk for VTE, with an estimated incidence rate ratio of 3.06, compared with nonuse. Data source: A national population-based case-control study involving 38,765 Danish adults who developed VTE in a 7-year period and 387,650 controls.

Disclosures: This study was supported by the Clinical Epidemiological Research Foundation at Aarhus University Hospital. No relevant conflicts of interest were reported.
FDA issues warning on azithromycin arrhythmia risk

BY ELIZABETH MECHATIE

Use of the antibiotic azithromycin is associated with an increased risk for fatal arrhythmia, according to a warning issued by the Food and Drug Administration.

The FDA has taken the step to strengthen the existing warning on the drug’s label about the risk of QT interval prolongation and torsades de pointes. In general, the people at greatest risk are those with known risk factors such as existing QT interval prolongation, low blood levels of potassium or magnesium, a slower than normal heart rate, or use of certain drugs used to treat abnormal heart rhythms or arrhythmias, according to the FDA.

Macrolides or nonmacrolides such as fluoroquinolones are among the antibiotics that physicians might consider using as alternatives to azithromycin, but there is no easy answer to which antibiotic to use in atrisk patients since these agents carry their own increased risk for QT prolongation, according to the FDA.

The FDA’s statement is a result of the agency’s review of a study showing that the risk of cardiovascular deaths, and the risk of death from any cause, was increased among those treated with a 5-day course of azithromycin, compared with people treated with amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, or no drug (N. Engl. J. Med. 2012;366:1881-90.

When compared with levofloxacin, the risk of cardiovascular death associated with azithromycin was similar. When compared with those who took no antibiotic, the risk of cardiovascular death was increased by 2.88 and the risk of death from any cause was increased by 3.04.

Continued on following page
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Dr. Jun Chiong, FCCP, comments: The FDA issued a warning that azithromycin can cause potentially fatal irregular heart rhythms and health care professionals should consider the risk of fatal heart rhythms with azithromycin when considering treatment options for patients who are already at risk for cardiovascular events.

This news has some patients and parents concerned but that doesn’t mean the antibiotic is always bad or unsafe. With widespread use of antibiotics, it is also important to do controlled studies that will establish “number needed to harm” in addition to number “needed to treat.”

It's very good that the public is aware of all the possible adverse side effects of the medication. However, from aspirin to azithromycin, there can be all kinds of adverse reactions.

References:
1. SPIRIVA Prescribing Information. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2013.
2. Data on file; Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

SPIRIVA was developed by Boehringer Ingelheim and is being co-promoted by Boehringer Ingelheim and Pfizer. Copyright ©2013, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc. All rights reserved. (02/13) SVS49983PROF
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was increased by 1.85 among those treated with atorvastatin, both statistically significant effects.

Although this study had limitations, it was “methodologically sound and supports the validity of the overall findings,” and the excess risk of cardiovascular death, “especially sudden death, is consistent with arrhythmias from drug-related QT prolongation,” the FDA said.

In formulating its warning, the FDA also considered findings from a clinical QT study conducted by the manufacturer’s study, which have been added to the drug label, indicating that atorvastatin prolonged the QT interval, according to the FDA statement.

The FDA statement, issued March 12, includes a list of specific groups at increased risk for torsades de pointes, including those with known prolongation of the QT interval, history of torsades de pointes, congenital long QT syndrome, bradyarrhythmias, or uncompensated heart failure, as well as those who are on drugs known to prolong the QT interval.

Also at risk are people with ongoing potassium conditions, including uncorrected hypokalemia.

There is no easy answer to which antibiotic to use in at-risk patients since these agents carry their own increased risk for QT prolongation, according to the FDA.

or hypomagnesemia; those with clinically significant bradycardia; and patients treated with class IA (quinidine, procainamide) or class III (dofetilide, amiodarone, sotalol) antiarrhythmic drugs. Elderly patients with cardiovascular disease “may be more susceptible to the effects of arrhythmogenic drugs on the QT interval,” the statement adds.

This information has been added to the warnings and precautions section of the labels for azithromycin products — marketed as Zithromax and Zmax — which are approved for indications that include acute bacterial exacerbations of COPD, acute bacterial sinusitis, community-acquired pneumonia, pharyngitis/tonsilitis, uncomplicated skin and skin structure infections, and urethritis and cervicitis.

The FDA announcement is at www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DrugSafety/UCM343347.pdf. Serious adverse events associated with atorvastatin should be reported to the FDA’s MedWatch program at 800-332-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch/.
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Frustration, EHRs go hand in hand

Survey from page 1

statement issued along with the survey results. The survey was released at the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society Annual Conference and exhibition.

Dr. Alan Brookstone, a cofounder of AmericanEHR Partners, said at the meeting that satisfaction rates may be dropping in part because there had been so much adoption of technology so quickly. Also, it’s not just the early adopters anymore, he said.

The largest number of respondents – almost 1,193 – was from primary care. Specialists, surgeons, hospital-based physicians, and psychiatrists were also represented.

The vast majority of respondents – 78% – were from practices with fewer than 10 physicians.

The number who said they intended to participate in meaningful use has grown over the past few years, with a full 82% saying they would apply for incentives paid by Medicare and Medicaid.

Satisfaction rates with current EHR systems were low across a spectrum of parameters. While 45% said they would recommend the product they use to a colleague, 39% said they would not. In 2010, more physicians said they’d recommend that system, while only 24% said they would urge against use.

Of those surveyed, 36% said that they had encountered unexpected events, problems, or costs after signing the initial contract for the system. Physicians were especially frustrated with the systems’ promise to decrease their workload. Thirty-four percent said they were dissatisfied with that promised ability, up from only 19% in 2010. Some respondents said that the EHR had decreased productivity and increased the amount of time needed to complete documentation. Fully a third of respondents said they had not returned to the productivity they had before they began to use the system.

About half of respondents were satisfied with functionality and ease of use, but a third were dissatisfied with those measures. That level of dissatisfaction was higher than it had been in 2010. For instance, thirty-six percent said that it was difficult to reconcile an imported medication list with medications listed in a patient record.

Overall, when compared with other specialties, primary care physicians were the most satisfied with their system’s ability to improve patient care. Surgeons, representing about 660 respondents, were the least satisfied.

Good customer support and training for the EHR systems was rated as crucial to satisfaction. There was an 11% increase in dissatisfaction with customer support from 2010 to 2012. Thirty-three percent of respondents said they weren’t happy with the customer support they received.

The number of practices using a patient portal increased by 20% from 2010 to 2012, rising to 40%. This is probably driven by the stage 2 meaningful use rules, which require physicians to be able to securely communicate with patients and for patients to be able to download and share their health information. Still, 50% of respondents did not have a portal.

Dr. Brookstone said the survey showed that vendors needed to better integrate functionality, improve training, and find ways to help physicians rebalance their workload. If physicians’ concerns aren’t addressed, it will lead to a decline in willingness to use the systems, he said.

CMS audits EHR incentives – before paying them

BY ALICIA AULT
IMNG Medical News

NEW ORLEANS – Haven’t received your meaningful use incentive? Check your mail for an audit letter.

If in January you submitted an attestation of meaningful use of your electronic health record – with an eye to reaping the federal health IT incentive – an audit letter may be on its way to you. A contractor for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services began sending audit letters this week to randomly selected Medicare-eligible professionals and hospitals, Elizabeth Holland, a director of the HIT Initiatives Group in the agency’s Office of E-Health Standards and Services, said at the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society annual conference. The audits could result in delays or ultimately, nonpayment, she said.

“We have a fiduciary responsibility to make sure that we are paying appropriately,” Ms. Holland explained, adding that providers who were not selected for the audit have already received their payments.

Dr. Stuart M. Garay, FCCP, comments: With the clock ticking away to qualify for the government’s meaningful use incentive program, many physicians have recently “attested to meaningful use.” Indeed, many have already “spent” this EHR incentive money – before they have actually received it. Beware! CMS has already and will continue to audit physicians who have attested to meaningful use.

Make sure your attestation does not get challenged!
Molecular Biomarker Testing Is Essential for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients

During the past decade the identification of molecular biomarkers for clinically relevant mutations or other genetic abnormalities in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has improved the understanding of lung cancer pathogenesis, and of the proliferation and survival of cancer cells.\(^1\) This significant development is setting the stage for a paradigm shift toward the adoption of treatments directed to the particular genetic makeup of the tumor.\(^1,2\)

Over 50% of NSCLC Cases Are Linked to Known Molecular Biomarkers

According to recent studies, more than 50% of NSCLC cases are linked to one of at least 10 currently known biomarkers for NSCLC — and many of these patients may test positive for mutations or other genetic abnormalities that are “drivers” for their cancers and are treatable with approved biomarker-driven therapies or investigational agents in clinical trials.\(^2,3\)

At Least 10 Known Molecular Biomarkers in NSCLC\(^1\)

These molecular subsets show the considerable heterogeneity of non-small cell tumors and suggest why patients with similar clinical stage and tumor histology can have dramatically different clinical outcomes.\(^4\)

Indeed, biomarkers may give clinicians an indication of the patient’s prognosis (outcome independent of treatment), as well as the treatment sensitivity/resistance of the tumor to specific agents.\(^4,5\)

Moreover, as genomic and mutational research continues, more biomarkers will inevitably be discovered, so that the proportion of NSCLC cases with unknown drivers will continue its decline. The ultimate goal of this approach to treatment is to identify every driver mutation for non-small cell lung cancer, and design a corresponding treatment for each of these oncogenes.\(^1,2,4\)

Now that more than half of NSCLC cases can be linked to one or more of these biomarkers, it is possible to subdivide the histological subtypes of NSCLC — adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and large cell carcinoma — into clinically relevant molecular subsets.\(^1\)
New Diagnostic Paradigm: Histology + Molecular Profile

Recently it has been proposed that lung cancer treatment be based on the histology of the tumor. But there is a growing consensus that molecular profiling — testing the tumor at biopsy for all appropriate biomarkers — should be part of the clinician’s standard approach to pathologic evaluation. And this is supported by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for all non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) histologies, which state:

“The discovery of biomarkers has demonstrated the molecular complexity of NSCLC, and it highlights the need to move toward molecularly based classification and treatment of these tumors. But only if patients are tested is it possible for them to potentially benefit from these developments.

As additional mutations are discovered through efforts such as the National Cancer Institute’s Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium (LCMC) and the Cancer Genome Atlas — and as new agents are developed to address these abnormalities — the hope for the over 215,000 people diagnosed with lung cancer each year is that these advances will lead to more treatment options. In the words of Dr. David Gandara, Director of Thoracic Oncology at UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center, “Our goal is to learn the ‘molecular fingerprint’ of each person’s lung cancer, and to personalize their therapy based on this information. The discoveries that could make this possible are being made at a rapid pace.”


For patients whose tumors test positive for a biomarker that is treatable with approved or investigational agents, the benefits of testing are self-evident. But in the future, molecular profiling will help an increasing proportion of patients with NSCLC because the additional information it reveals about their tumor has the potential to guide clinical management.

Molecular Profiling Is Key in NSCLC

The discovery of biomarkers has demonstrated the molecular complexity of NSCLC, and it highlights the need to move toward molecularly based classification and treatment of these tumors. But only if patients are tested is it possible for them to potentially benefit from these developments.

As additional mutations are discovered through efforts such as the National Cancer Institute’s Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium (LCMC) and the Cancer Genome Atlas — and as new agents are developed to address these abnormalities — the hope for the over 215,000 people diagnosed with lung cancer each year is that these advances will lead to more treatment options. In the words of Dr. David Gandara, Director of Thoracic Oncology at UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center, “Our goal is to learn the ‘molecular fingerprint’ of each person’s lung cancer, and to personalize their therapy based on this information. The discoveries that could make this possible are being made at a rapid pace.”
Steroid treatment may not improve mortality in community-acquired pneumonia, but it was associated with significantly shorter hospital stays and an increase in the chance of a clean chest radiograph after treatment.

A meta-analysis of eight studies on the topic showed that steroid treatment reduced the overall length of stay by a little over 1 day. There also was an 87% reduction in the risk of an abnormal chest x-ray at 1 week and an 88% reduction in the risk of delayed shock. These last findings, however, were based on just a few of the analysis’ studies, which were considered only of moderate quality, Dr. Majid Shaﬁq and colleagues wrote in the Journal of Hospital Medicine.

The data are not strong enough to recommend routine use of steroids among all adults hospitalized with” community-acquired pneumonia, wrote Dr. Shaﬁq and his coauthors at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minn. “However, considering that there was no increase in mortality or hospital length of stay with steroid use, it is reasonable to continue steroids if warranted for treatment of underlying comorbid conditions,” they noted (J. Hosp. Med. 2013;8:68–75).

The analysis included a total of 1,119 patients; four randomized controlled trials were among the studies. In seven studies, the mean patient age ranged from 60 to 80 years. In one study, patients in the experimental arm were a mean of 32 years and those in the control arm were a mean of 41 years. Only one study used a chest x-ray score. The mean length of stay in the intensive care unit was 13 days for patients taking steroids and 12 for the control patients. The mean hospital length of stay was 10 days for those taking steroids, 14 days for those who did not. Steroid use did not significantly impact the length of ICU stay or mortality. Four studies showed significantly lower clinical cure rates more late failures in patients taking steroids. Two showed no between-group differences in the occurrence of superinfections. Three studies reported that the drugs did not affect glycemic levels, while four found more frequent hyperglycemia in the steroid group.

The authors noted that “it is not inconceivable that steroid use led to a quicker decline in cytokine levels resulting in an earlier resolution of fever and hence earlier discharge without a faster cure per se.” The researchers reported no ﬁnancial conﬂicts.

Dr. Vera DePalo, FCCP, comments: The authors present interesting data. Taken in total, there seems to be signiﬁcantly shorter hospital stay and signiﬁcant reductions in the risk of a abnormal x-ray at 1 week and in the risk of delayed shock, without other signiﬁcant negative ﬁndings. However, the results of some of the individual studies were more mixed. Further study is needed to best understand a potential role for steroids in pneumonia.
Low-dose antibiotic cut flare-ups

Bronchiectasis from page 1

Azithromycin Treatment) study, the median number of exacerbations after 1 year was 0 in azithromycin-treated patients, compared with 2 in patients given placebo (JAMA 2013;309:1251-9).

Both studies’ findings are consistent with those of the EMBRACE trial published last year, which showed a 500 mg-dose of azithromycin given for 6 months reduced the incidence of pulmonary exacerbations, compared with placebo, in patients who had at least one exacerbation in the past year (Lancet 2012;380:660-77).

“The BLESS and BAT trials provide robust evidence for a beneficial effect of low-dose macrolide maintenance therapy in patients with bronchiectasis,” observed Dr. J. Stuart Elborn and Michael Tunney, Ph.D., in an editorial accompanying the articles (JAMA 2013;309:1255-6).

“Given the paucity of evidence for treatments in bronchiectasis, the results of these studies and the recently published EMBRACE trial are welcome, because they provide a good evidence base for an effective therapy for bronchiectasis,” added the commentators, both of Queen’s University Belfast, Northern Ireland.

Bronchiectasis is characterized by widening of the airways specifically, the small and medium-size bronchi mucosal thickening, and bronchial inflammation. Sufferers are usually dogged by a chronic cough and sputum production, impaired lung function, and infection-related exacerbations.

BLESS was a single-center trial conducted in Australia involving 117 outpatients with a history of two or more infective exacerbations in the past year. Patients were treated with twice-daily erythromycin (400 mg) or placebo. The mean ages of antibiotic- and placebo-treated patients were 61.1 years and 63.5 years, respectively.

Treatment with erythromycin resulted in a 43% relative reduction in the mean annualized exacerbation rate. Exacerbations also were significantly decreased in a pre-specified subgroup of patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa airway infection.

Furthermore, “erythromycin reduced 24-hour sputum production and attenuated lung function,” wrote Dr. David Serisier and his colleagues at Mater Adult Hospital in South Brisbane, Australia.

The BAT study was conducted in 14 Dutch hospitals and involved 83 outpatients with a history of three or more lower respiratory tract infections in the past year. Patients were randomized to a daily dose of 250 mg azithromycin or placebo. The mean ages of antibiotic- and placebo-treated patients were 59.9 years and 64.6 years, respectively.

The risk of patients experiencing at least one exacerbation during the trial was significantly lower if they had been treated with the antibiotic rather than being given placebo (46.5% vs. 80%, hazard ratio = 0.29).

“The number of patients needed to treat with azithromycin to maintain clinical stability was 3.0,” Dr. Josie Altenburg, Medical Centre Alkmaar, the Netherlands, and associates reported. Azithromycin therapy also was associated with improved lung function, compared with placebo.

One concern with long-term treatment using these antibiotics is the possible development of macrolide resistance. Dr. Altenburg and colleagues reported a macrolide resistance rate of 88% with azithromycin, vs. 26% with placebo in BLESS, Dr. Serisier and his coauthors observed an increased proportion of macrolide-resistant oropharyngeal streptococci, with a median increase of 27.7%, compared with 0.04% with placebo.

“The bacterial resistance caused by macrolide therapy mandates a cautious approach,” Dr. Serisier and associates acknowledged. They added that the potential for resistance must “curb enthusiasm” for widespread erythromycin use.

“The benefits of long-term macrolide treatment for individual patients with bronchiectasis need to be balanced with increasing concerns regarding the development of resistance to both macrolides and other antibiotics among airway microbiota,” Dr. Elborn and Dr. Tunney similarly observed in their accompanying editorial.

Dr. W. Michael Alberts, FCCP, comments: Non–cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis is not an uncommon clinical challenge. The oft-accompanying chronic productive cough along with periodic purulent exacerbations have the potential to negatively impact quality of life.

Three trials (BLESS, BAT, and EMBRACE) have now shown that long-term macrolide therapy is effective in reducing purulent exacerbations but “there’s always a ‘but’” such therapy has the potential to result in resistant bacteria. The risk/benefit equation must be frequently reassessed.

FDA gives up on graphic cigarette labels

The Food and Drug Administration, facing opposition from the tobacco industry and court decisions that didn’t go its way, has dropped its proposals for graphic photos on cigarette warning labels.

The agency said it will develop labels that satisfy the courts’ requirements not to infringe on tobacco companies’ First Amendment right to free speech. The 2009 Tobacco Control Act requires the FDA to implement new warning labels.

The graphic labels, unveiled in 2011 and intended for placement on all cigarette packages, included photos of a man’s corpse, diseased-riddled lungs, and rotting teeth.

A group of tobacco manufacturers sued the agency to overturn the requirement to use the labels, and two courts most recently the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit sided with the manufacturers. The Justice Department elected not to appeal the case to the U.S. Supreme Court.

The American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, the ACS’ advocacy arm, urged the FDA to work quickly. “The current warning labels have not been changed in 25 years and are widely considered to be ineffective,” group president Chris Hansen said in a statement.

–Jane Anderson
Deadly CRE infections on the rise, CDC says

BY DOUG BRUNK
IMNG Medical News

Between 2001 and 2011, the percentage of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections reported by acute care hospitals in the United States increased nearly fourfold, from 1.2% to 4.2%. More recent data from the first 6 months of 2012 suggest that the percentage of such infections is now slightly higher, at 4.6%.

The findings, which appear in a Vital Signs report released by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, are significant because CRE can kill up to 50% of patients who get bloodstream infections from them.

For one component of the report, CDC researchers analyzed data from the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) and its predecessor, the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance system (NNIS), for the number of Enterobacteriaceae isolates; the percentage reported to be tested against carbapenems; and the percentage reported as carbapenem resistant in 2001 and in 2011. For another component, the researchers evaluated NHSN data for the number and percentage of CRE cases reporting CRE from a catheter-associated urinary tract infection or central line–associated bloodstream infection between January and June of 2012.

Of the CRE cases reported during the first half of 2012, about 18% occurred in long-term acute care hospitals and about 4% occurred in short-stay hospitals.

The risk of CRE infection is highest among patients who are receiving complex or long-term medical care, including those in short-stay hospitals or long-term acute care hospitals, or nursing homes. It’s commonly spread by people with unclean hands but “medical devices such as ventilators or catheters [also] increase the risk of life-threatening infection because they allow new bacteria to get deeply into a patient’s body,” CDC Director Tom Frieden said.

According to the report, health care facilities in Northeastern states report the most cases of CRE, with 42 states reporting having had at least one patient test positive for the infection. In addition, one type of CRE, a resistant form of Klebsiella pneumoniae, demonstrated a nearly sevenfold increase between 2001 and 2011, jumping from 1.6% to 10.4%.

“In some of those places, these bacteria are now a routine challenge for patients and clinicians,” Dr. Frieden said. He listed six practical ways that health care providers can prevent CRE in their facilities:

- Know if your particular patient has CRE and request immediate alerts from your lab every time it identifies any patient with the infection.
- When receiving or transporting patients, make sure to ask or find out if the patient you’re receiving has CRE.
- Protect your patients from CRE by following contact and other precautions whenever you’re treating patients with CRE “so you don’t inadvertently spread their organism to someone else.”
- Whenever possible, have specific rooms, equipment, and staff to care for CRE patients. “This reduces the chance that CRE will spread from one patient to others,” he said.
- Remove temporary medical devices such as catheters as soon as possible.
- Prescribe antibiotics carefully. “Unfortunately, half of the antibiotics prescribed in this country are either unnecessary or inappropriate,” Dr. Frieden said.

These and other recommendations for hospitals, long-term acute care facilities, nursing homes, and health departments can be found in a CRE prevention toolkit released by the CDC in 2012.

Authors of the report acknowledged at least three limitations of the data. First, they wrote, “antimicrobial susceptibility data reported to NNIS and NHSN were generated at individual institutions rather than [at] a central laboratory, and testing methodologies vary between facilities. Second, susceptibility interpretation is based on the recommended break points used when tested. Although carbapenem break points for Enterobacteriaceae were lowered in 2010 and might have influenced the increase in the percentage of isolates that were carbapenem-resistant, most laboratories would not have incorporated those changes by 2011.”

Finally, in some instances, complete susceptibility test results, particularly for carbapenems, were not reported to NNIS or NHSN, leading to a subset of isolates that were not included in these analyses.

The researchers reported having no relevant financial disclosures.

COMMENTARY

Dr. Steven Q. Simpson, FCCP: comments: More and more we are faced with serious infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria and even pan-resistant organisms. Clearly, such infections impact the ICU when they fail to respond to standard antibiotic treatment. As intensivists, we need to help fix the problem by careful adherence to hand hygiene, aseptic techniques, and antibiotic stewardship, including cessation of antibiotic therapy when it is not clear that we are treating an actual infection—but only if we hope to have antibiotics to treat our own sepsis someday.

Eritoran fails to improve mortality in severe sepsis

BY MARY ANN MOON
IMNG Medical News

Eritoran, a highly active and specific lipopolysaccharide inhibitor, failed to improve 1-month or 1-year mortality in an international phase III clinical trial of nearly 2,000 patients with severe sepsis, according to a report published in JAMA.

Eritoran had appeared very promising in preclinical, phase I, and phase II trials, blocking cytokine responses, terminating lipopolysaccharide–associated inflammatory events, and ultimately reducing patient mortality. “Despite these promising early results, no evidence of significant benefit was observed with eritoran in this large phase III trial,” said Dr. Steven M. Opal of the division of infectious diseases at Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island, Pawtucket, and his associates. “Eritoran joins a long list of other experimental sepsis treatments that do not improve outcomes in clinical trials in these critically ill patients.”

Eritoran is a synthetic analog of lipid A and a potent, specific antagonist against lipopolysaccharide activity, which drives the inflammatory response. In this 3-year double-blind study conducted at 197 ICUs throughout North America, Europe, South America, Africa, Asia, and Australia, 1,984 patients with severe sepsis or septic shock were randomly assigned to receive intravenous eritoran (1,322 subjects) or matching placebo (662 subjects) and followed at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year.

The pathogenesis that most commonly caused sepsis in this study were Escherichia coli (22%), Staphylococcus aureus (12%), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (11%). The lung was the site of infection in approximately half of the patients in each group.

Bloodstream infection incidence was similar between the groups, affecting 38% of the eritoran group and 40% of the placebo group. Both groups received comparable supportive care, appropriate antimicrobial therapy, and timely infection control.

The primary outcome measure was 28-day mortality in these patients who were at high risk of dying. This rate was not significantly different between the group that received active drug (28%) and the group that received placebo (27%). Similarly, all-cause mortality at 1 year was comparable between the two groups, at 44% and 43%, respectively, the investigators said (JAMA 2013;309:1154-62).

In subgroup analyses, eritoran showed no beneficial effect on patient mortality. Rates were similar regardless of baseline APACHE score, baseline SOFA score, presence or absence of septic shock, site of the primary infection, or whether the infection was gram negative or gram positive.

“Our results … call into question the role of an endotoxin-blocking agent in halting the inflammatory progression and organ dysfunction once sepsis is already underway,” the researchers said.
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New care guidelines stress certification, diversity

BY PATRICE WENDLING
IMNg Medical News

NEW ORLEANS – New palliative care guidelines encourage discipline-specific certification for each of the major disciplines in a palliative care program, even for chaplaincy.

The guidelines are critical in raising the bar to guide the training of professionals and the development of programs, said Dr. Diane Meier, coleader of the National Consensus Project for Quality Palliative Care (NCP), which released the guidelines during the annual meeting of the American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine.

Since the guidelines’ last revision in 2009, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education recognized hospice and palliative medicine as a subspecialty of 11 different parent boards. That paved the way for the development of hospice and palliative medicine fellowships, now an eligibility requirement for the board certification exams.

This year, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services also began implementing an annual quality reporting program for hospice organizations that includes a financial incentive for hospice provider participation. Data from roughly 600 hospices and palliative care at a 300-bed tertiary hospital will look substantially different than at a 40-bed community hospital, it must include all eight domains of care. It is not a physician and a half-time nurse doing pain consults, insists NCP coleader Betty Ferrell, Ph.D., R.N.

She observed that the social, cultural, and spiritual domains have undergone the biggest changes in the latest edition.

The social domain emphasizes the need to collaborate with patients and families to identify and capitalize on their strengths, and to use a social worker with patient population-specific skills in assessment and interventions.

The cultural domain contains new content stressing the need for cultural and linguistic competence, including plain language, literacy, and delivering written materials in languages other than English. Translators also should be used for patients and families who do not speak or understand English, or for those who feel more comfortable communicating in another language.

“We really need to do a lot of this work because, if you look at our literature, you could say it’s kind of uniperspective,” Dr. Ferrell, a professor and research scientist at the City of Hope Medical Center in Los Angeles, acknowledged.

The spiritual domain was revised to include a definition of spirituality stressing assessment, access, and staff collaboration in attending to the spiritual, religious, and existential concerns throughout the illness trajectory.

“Chaplains may see a small minority of patients in the hospital, thus it’s important for all health care providers to address spiritual needs,” she said.

The ethical and legal domain was reorganized into three sections to highlight the need for ongoing discussions about goals of care as well as greater communication and documentation of advance-care planning documents. The section also describes team competencies in the identification and resolution of ethical issues, and acknowledges the frequency and complexity of legal and regulatory issues in palliative care.

During a discussion of the guidelines, audience members said they’ve often been kept from doing the next step in care because of fear of legal reprisal. Only a dozen or so of the roughly 200 members in the audience, however, raised their hands when asked whether legal counsel had ever attended a palliative care meeting at their hospital.

“We’ve had ethics committees involved in palliative care; but we actually need more access to our legal counsel so we can feel safer and that we’re making consistent judgments,” Dr. Ferrell said at the meeting, also sponsored by the Hospice and Palliative Nurses Association.

Finally, the domain previously called “Care of the imminently dying” was renamed “Care of the patient at the end of life.” It highlights the need to meticulously assess and manage pain and other symptoms, to guide families about what to expect in the dying process, and to begin bereavement support before the actual death.

“Families need support, given that they have often never witnessed a death until faced with losing someone they love,” she said. “The reality of death is very different from images on film and television.”

The guidelines were sponsored by the American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine, the Center to Advance Palliative Care, the Hospice and Palliative Nurses Association, the National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization, the National Association of Social Workers, and the National Palliative Care Research Center.

Dr. Meier and Dr. Ferrell reported no relevant conflicts of interest.

pwending@frontlinemedcom.com

Previously, the guidelines were “kind of uniperspective,” said project coleader Dr. Betty Ferrell.
WHAT MATTERS: CPAP vs. appliances for sleep apnea

BY JON O. EBBERT, M.D.

Most likely I am not alone with the feeling that we spend a lot of resources diagnosing sleep apnea, meticulously titrating continuous positive airway pressure devices, and patiently listening to some of our patients as they list the reasons for not using it. Many times, the patients have been back to the sleep specialists, who try in earnest to make it work because we all know the litany of potential adverse downstream effects if apnea is left untreated.

We all also know that frightening our patients (“untreated sleep apnea can increase the risk for sudden cardiac death and heart failure…”) into CPAP compliance is ineffective. So, for the lucky patients whose insurance coverage facilitates the fitting of oral appliances, such as the mandibular advancement device (MAD), we can try these.

Although the reduction in overall apneic episodes is less with MAD than with CPAP devices, the adherence to the MAD may be higher. So how do CPAP and oral appliances fare head-to-head?


In this study, 126 patients with moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea were randomly assigned to use of MAD or CPAP for 1 month. Patients were excluded if they had central sleep apnea, need for immediate treatment, a coexisting sleep disorder, regular use of sedatives or narcotics, or pre-existing lung or psychiatric disease.

The primary outcome was a difference in 24-hour mean arterial blood pressure. Secondary outcomes included cardiovascular events and arterial stiffness. Neurobehavioral function and quality of life also were measured.

CPAP was significantly more effective than MAD for reducing the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), but compliance was significantly greater with MAD (6.5 hours per night vs. 5.2 hours per night). No differences in the 24-hour mean arterial pressure were observed, though neither treatment improved blood pressure. Sleepiness, driving stimulator performance, and disease-specific quality of life improved with both treatments by similar amounts. MAD was superior to CPAP on several quality-of-life domains.

This study is extremely informative for our practices in which we cannot consistently provide either motivational enhancement or interventions to improve adherence with CPAP. For CPAP nonadherent patients for whom an appliance seems like an appropriate next step, this should be pursued. In the case of sleep apnea, we should not let perfection be the enemy of good.

This column, What Matters, regularly appears in Internal Medicine News, a publication of Frontline Medical Communications.

Dr. Ebbert is professor of medicine and primary care clinician at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minn. He reports having no conflicts of interest. The opinions expressed are those of the author. Reply via e-mail at imnews@frontlinemedcom.com.

COMMENTARY

Dr. Paul A. Selecky, FCCP, comments: This study will be very helpful to the practicing sleep physician and pulmonologist who often has felt that a mandibular advancement device was less effective than CPAP, but these data on compliance shed a new light. It seems worth a try if a patient is not adherent to use of CPAP. The problem is that medical insurance generally does not cover a MAD – another obstacle.
Deep suctioning ups stay in bronchiolitic infants

BY MICHELE G. SULLIVAN
IMNG Medical News

Deep suctioning and long lapses between suction treatments were associated with significantly increased lengths of stay in babies hospitalized with bronchiolitis.

Patients who never had deep suctioning stayed a little more than a day, but the length of stay was more than 2 days in patients for whom deep suctioning accounted for 60% or more of their treatments, Dr. Grant M. Mussman and his colleagues reported in JAMA Pediatrics (2013 [doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.36]).

Similarly, patients who experienced several lapses of 4 hours between treatments were hospitalized significantly longer than those with no treatment lapses (mean of 2.3 days vs. 1.7 days).

Compared with a noninvasive nasal-type suction device, deep suctioning may aggravate the bronchial swelling and mucus sloughing that already causes breathing problems in these tiny patients, wrote Dr. Mussman of Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center. “[I]t may be that deep suctioning causes edema and irritation of the upper airway. Alternatively, noninvasive suctioning could be more effective in mobilizing nasal secretions through the larger caliber catheter.”

Regular treatments with no lapses probably keep the airways open more consistently, they noted. “It is also possible that regular suctioning results in agitation of the patient, with resultant increased minute volume and secretion mobilization, resulting in shorter length of stay.”

The study cohort consisted of 740 patients who were studied for device type (deep or noninvasive), 695 of which were studied for treatment timing. The patients were a mean of 6 months old, and all had been hospitalized for bronchiolitis.

Deep suction was defined as the insertion of a nasopharyngeal catheter, and noninvasive as the use of nasal-type aspirators, excluding bulb syringe. The exposure was the percentage of treatments that used deep suctioning (0%-35%; more than 35%-60%; and more than 60%).

The adjusted mean length of stay for infants who had no deep suctioning was 1.75 days. The stay was 1.91 days for those with up to 35% deep suctioning, 1.96 days for more than 35%-60% deep suctioning, and 2.35 days for more than 60% deep suctioning.

For the suction treatment timing group, a suctioning lapse was defined as two sequential suctioning events separated by more than 4 hours during the first 24 hours of admission. The investigators said that the 4-hour increment is the most common reassessment timing.

Infants with no treatment lapses had a mean adjusted hospital stay of 1.62 days. In contrast, the mean length of stay was 1.72 days for infants with one treatment lapse, 2.09 days for those with two lapses, and 2.64 days for those with three or four lapses.

Dr. Mussman reported having no relevant financial disclosures.

msullivan@frontlinemedcom.com
FROM THE EVP/CEO: Ecofriendly ACCP headquarters is on horizon

BY PAUL A. MARKOWSKI, CAE

As we go to press with this column, construction crews are very busy in Glenview, Illinois, creating the ACCP’s new headquarters – a center for innovative, interactive education that will provide unprecedented opportunities for advancement in chest medicine.

As you can see in the attached photo, the foundation and footprint are in place, and the headquarters is beginning to take shape. The building, which will have two wings anchored by a lobby, will house the Innovation and Simulation Center in one wing and staff offices in the other.

We anticipate that the center will be completed by October, just in time for our annual meeting. If you will be attending CHEST 2013, I hope you will join a tour of the building and see why we are so excited about our plans.

As thrilled as we are with what the new building will provide, we are committed to reducing our carbon footprint with the goal of obtaining a Leadership in Energy and Design (LEED) rating of Silver. The US Green Building Council developed the LEED green building certification system to verify that a structure has been designed and built to minimize environmental impact. Within each of the LEED credit categories, projects earn points in the categories of sustainability, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources, and indoor environment quality.

In keeping with the ACCP’s commitment to healthy air and lungs, we have focused specifically on the air quality and general air environment in the new headquarters. A healthy building will benefit our staff and member community.

We look forward to your comments about the new headquarters. After all, it’s going to be used by our member community, as well as our staff, and your input is invaluable.

There are several ways to follow our progress as the headquarters takes shape: updates on Twitter (@PMarkowskiACCP) and detailed information posted regularly on the ACCP Facebook page (accchest). In addition, you’ll be able to follow the construction via webcam on the website for the Beyond Our Walls campaign (beyondourwalls.chestnet.org).

Please join us as we make our vision of innovative learning and cutting-edge medical education a reality.

CHEST 2013: Inspire Chicago

Travel Leisure readers voted Chicago the nation’s “Best Skysline.” It’s easy to see why. There are iconic architectural wonders everywhere you look, including The Bean, a larger-than-life modern art sculpture in Millennium Park. Pair the best skyline with the best clinical learning experience, and you’ve got CHEST 2013 in Chicago.

Recognized as the global authority in clinical chest medicine, CHEST 2013 will feature a learning program in pulmonary, critical care, and sleep medicine. Relevant updates on patient care and practice management strategies will offer insight, perspective, and inspiration you can seamlessly incorporate into your practice to stay at the forefront of clinical chest medicine. Don’t miss:

► Five postgraduate courses.
► More than 300 general sessions.
► An expanded simulation program.
► Opening sessions with keynote speakers to kick off the day.
► Original investigation presentations.
► New diagnostic and treatment solutions in the Clinical Resource Center.

CHEST 2013 takes place October 26-31. Begin planning your trip to Chicago now with the Choose Chicago Mobile App, available at ChooseChicago.com/mobile-app. Download to access contact information and maps for restaurants, theaters, museums, and more. Find things to do near your location, from shopping to sightseeing tours. Learn about events happening all around the city, and get the latest Chicago weather. Also from this Web page, you can request The Chicago Official Visitors Guide. subscribe to e-newsletters, or connect with visitor information centers.

Learn more about CHEST 2013 at chestmeeting.chestnet.org.
CHEST app redesigned

The fully redesigned CHEST app for iPhone®, iPad®, and iPod touch® is now available. Existing users will be prompted to update through their device, and new users can find it in the App Store™ or iTunes™ by searching for CHEST.

This latest update to the July 2012 release that brought the full searchable archive and playable podcasts to the app in conjunction with the CHEST Publications site launch is part of the ACCP’s ongoing commitment to bring you the most up-to-date information when and where you need it.

The app’s new dynamic home page features:

- Highlighted articles from the most recent issue.
- New articles posted just after acceptance.
- Access to the monthly podcast, which can be played directly from the device.
- Other new features include:
  - An expanded menu providing central navigation to 11 different areas of the app, such as the full CHEST archive and Guidelines.
  - Updates from ACCP’s Twitter feed.
  - Log-in credentials, favorite articles, and viewing history will be carried over when the app is updated.
  - Download or update the CHEST app today for free to get the latest information in chest medicine on demand and on the go.

ACCP e-community celebrates a year of growth and success

The ACCP launched the e-Community to allow members to communicate, collaborate, and learn virtually throughout the year, not just during live meetings like CHEST.

As we approach the 1-year milestone of the e-Community’s launch, it’s hard not to notice the rapid growth and evolution occurring in this online platform. Some highlights:

- In the first 2 months of 2013, e-Community log-ins increased by 24%, with 1,300 new log-ins.
- 70% of ACCP NetWork members have logged in to the e-Community.
- Active participation (creating discussion posts, posting resources, etc.) increased by 113% between July 2012 and February 2013.

With an increase in log-ins and participation, content has become more diverse and far-reaching. Hassan Bencheqroun, MD, FCCP, member of the Chest Infections NetWork Steering Committee and Vice-Chair of the Pulmonary Physiology, Function, and Rehabilitation NetWork, said the e-Community discussions are valuable for international knowledge sharing.

“Someone across the world can ask a clinical question and get many interesting, knowledgeable responses in a short timeframe. The e-Community is a great venue for sharing ideas, practices, and new uses to old therapies not commonly practiced in the United States from international colleagues and vice versa,” Dr. Bencheqroun said. “It’s also beneficial to discuss challenges regarding rising resistances to anti-microbials across borders.”

The e-Community has hosted discussions on unique clinical cases, hot-button topics, journal article reviews, and much more. In celebration of its successful beginning, the e-Community teamed up with ACCP’s official journal, CHEST, to host a contest in early April. NetWork members shared CHEST articles and were awarded special, virtual e-Community birthday badges.

Learn more about NetWorks and the e-Community on chestnet.org, and e-mail communityadmin@chestnet.org with questions.
CRITICAL CARE COMMENTARY: A call for more simulation education

“Educational simulations are, in many respects, analogous to the play of the young of any species.”


Critical care is serious business. Our specialty prides itself on caring for the sickest of the sick, yet what it takes to be a competent critical care physician is ever changing.

Due to the promulgation of PICC lines (Pittirri et al. Crit Care. 2012;16:R21); goal-directed therapy of sepsis, which has prompted emergency medicine physicians to place the CVP line (Otero et al. Chest. 2006;130[5]:1379); and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) trial that suggested Swan-Ganz catheters may be superfluous (Wheeler et al. N Engl J Med. 2006;354[21]:2213), we are putting in far fewer central lines and pulmonary artery catheters (PAC).

Someone should tell the ABIM, judging from all the PAC questions on my recent recertification exam – just ask a fellowship program director how difficult it is to get an adequate experience in central line placement for their fellows before they graduate. On the other hand, there was a myriad of other procedures not taught to me in my fellowship. Being a boomer, my list may be longer than most, but bedside ultrasound, ultrasound-guided line placement, videoscopic airway placement, supraglottic airway placement, airway pressure release ventilation (or bilevel ventilation), Agaephyresis, and continuous end-tidal capnography are all procedures that I learned after becoming board-certified in critical care medicine.

The changing landscape of critical care is not just limited to procedures. Work-hour restrictions in academic institutions and postboomers demanding more shift work in general, has led to more frequent changes of responsibility for our patients and the idea of the handoff as a skill unto itself. The concept of team-focused critical care is relatively new (and certainly not universally practiced) as is the application of crew (or crisis) resource management adapted from the airline industry. The eICU has led some critical care physicians away from the bedside to the front of a computer screen, while bringing noncritical care practitioners (hospitalists and midlevels) closer to critical care patients under supervision. Both have to learn a different way to practice. Advance care planning, do not resuscitate orders, terminal sedation, and palliative care are all concepts that have evolved into the practice of critical care differently across the country over the past several decades.

So how can one keep up with these changes and practice state-of-the-art critical care? Simulation is part of the answer, and it can be serious fun! Imagine yourself sitting in a lecture with the instructor showing slides of PAC waveforms and imagining a new cure for insomnia. Now imagine yourself caring for a simulated patient who is in shock with a holosystolic murmur. You place a PAC, and the occlusion waveform reveals a V-wave. Just like experimental learning at the bedside, this lesson is likely to stay with you for a lot longer than so- portic slides from a lecture. Whether fellowship program directors should spend valuable training time learning PACs is a question that needs to be asked, however.

Simulation has become a part of medical school education, mostly in a formative way. Examples include the use of standardized patients to teach the physical exam, specialty task trainers to teach the cardiac exam, high-fidelity patient simulator mannequins to teach emergency evaluation, as well as team skills and video game-like screen-based simulators to teach clinical reasoning. Simulators are also being used in a summative fashion with objective standardized clinical evaluations such as the United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE) clinical skills exam, which uses multiple simulated patient scenarios for testing. Simulation training is certainly used in residency training, and a recent report showed that 4 hours of simulator training prior to doing an ICU rotation led to better performance on a post-rotation checklist (Schrodi et al. J Crit Care. 2012;27[2]:219). The majority of medicine-based critical care fellowship programs have some type of simulation in their curriculum (Joffe et al. Respir Care. 2012;57[7]:1084).

Simulation is used to teach all members of the critical care team to include nurses (Brown et al. Austr Crit Care. 2012;25[3]:178) and respiratory therapists (Tuttle et al. Respir Care. 2007;52[1]:263).

Every national medical society in the United States that serves critical care physicians offers CME using simulation education. When simulation education is done well, it can be a magnificent thing. Simulation done well incorporates: (a) preparation to make the scenario true to life, as high fidelity as possible, and to fit the students learning needs; (b) learner engagement with respect for the simulated patient and suspension of disbelief; (c) the scenario pushing the learners to their limits of knowledge, allowing them to make a mistake, which can become a “benign learning scar”; (d) a debrief occurring with a facilitator who recognizes that the breather for the student is the student him or herself; and (e) the students being left with an experience that helps them reflect on lessons learned and how this will apply to their practice.

Buying a simulator and holding a meeting is not enough. Simulation education costs more money than additional CME due to the need for a smaller student-to-faculty ratio, the preparation time, the time that students must be away from their practice, the venue with supporting props, and the cost of the actual simulators. Our challenge in medical simulation education is to prove that it is worth the cost, especially as we enter a period of austerity in medical care.

PAC waveforms and imagining a new cure for insomnia. Now imagine yourself caring for a simulated patient who is in shock with a holosystolic murmur. You place a PAC, and the occlusion waveform reveals a V-wave. Just like experimental learning at the bedside, this lesson is likely to stay with you for a lot longer than so- portic slides from a lecture. Whether fellowship program directors should spend valuable training time learning PACs is a question that needs to be asked, however.

Simulation has become a part of medical school education, mostly in a formative way. Examples include the use of standardized patients to teach the physical exam, specialty task trainers to teach the cardiac exam, high-fidelity patient simulator mannequins to teach emergency evaluation, as well as team skills and video game-like screen-based simulators to teach clinical reasoning. Simulators are also being used in a summative fashion with objective standardized clinical evaluations such as the United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE) clinical skills exam, which uses multiple simulated patient scenarios for testing. Simulation training is certainly used in residency training, and a recent report showed that 4 hours of simulator training prior to doing an ICU rotation led to better performance on a post-rotation checklist (Schrodi et al. J Crit Care. 2012;27[2]:219). The majority of medicine-based critical care fellowship programs have some type of simulation in their curriculum (Joffe et al. Respir Care. 2012;57[7]:1084).

Simulation is used to teach all members of the critical care team to include nurses (Brown et al. Austr Crit Care. 2012;25[3]:178) and respiratory therapists (Tuttle et al. Respir Care. 2007;52[1]:263).

Every national medical society in the United States that serves critical care physicians offers CME using simulation education. When simulation education is done well, it can be a magnificent thing. Simulation done well incorporates: (a) preparation to make the scenario true to life, as high fidelity as possible, and to fit the students learning needs; (b) learner engagement with respect for the simulated patient and suspension of disbelief; (c) the scenario pushing the learners to their limits of knowledge, allowing them to make a mistake, which can become a “benign learning scar”; (d) a debrief occurring with a facilitator who recognizes that the breather for the student is the student him or herself; and (e) the students being left with an experience that helps them reflect on lessons learned and how this will apply to their practice.

Buying a simulator and holding a meeting is not enough. Simulation education costs more money than additional CME due to the need for a smaller student-to-faculty ratio, the preparation time, the time that students must be away from their practice, the venue with supporting props, and the cost of the actual simulators. Our challenge in medical simulation education is to prove that it is worth the cost, especially as we enter a period of austerity in medical care.

For physicians, maintenance of certification (MOC) is the norm for those who want to maintain board certification in critical care medicine through the ABIM, since the certificate has been time-limited since its inception. The American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) is working toward all physicians participating in MOC at least every other year rather than waiting until the “multiple guess exam” every 10 years. Although there are some naysayers, most physicians agree that maintaining board certification through a process that improves physician knowledge leading to improved patient care is a good thing (like the ABMS website). Clearly, our patients want this and want us to be certified, and our patients would rather we make novice mistakes on a simulator rather than on them. Simulation lends itself extremely well to formative feedback (designed to improve the learner) but is evolving and should be able to be used for summative evaluation (designed to assess the learner’s ability). The latter clearly requires more effort and preparation since there can be high stakes and the simulator will never be just like the real human patient.

As an educator who uses medical simulation at every level (medical student, resident, fellow, and staff), I truly believe that we owe it to our patients to further develop simulation to keep us the best critical care physicians we can be. And guess what, we can have serious fun doing it!

Dr. Bernard J. Roth, FCCP
Professor of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Clinical Professor of Medicine, Pulmonary Division University of Washington Tacoma, WA

I would like to thank Dr. Roth for his provocative and thoughtful commentary. It is amazing that in a fairly brief time, we have gone from “see one, do one, teach one” as the primary teaching and learning paradigm in medicine to a sophisticated, interactive simulation environment. Though not perfect, less repetitious and shared experiences gained seem to serve all learners well, as their new skills are honed in a realistic but safe environment. The cost of this is high in resource, time, and even producing faculty capable of teaching in this style.

Another question yet unanswered is how often, the interval involved, and does this training need to be repeated, if ever? It is too late and unacceptable to go back, but the journey forward requires thoughtful consideration and balance to maximize education.

Dr. Peter Spiro, FCCP
Section Editor, Critical Care Commentary
An update on critical care ultrasonography at the ACCP

BY DR. PAUL H. MAYO, FCCP

The ACCP continues to lead the ultrasound revolution in North America. Over 2,000 critical care clinicians have taken the ACCP Ultra-
sonography: Essentials in Critical Care course, hundreds more have taken the Focused Thoracic and Pleural Ultrasonography and Critical Care Echocardiography courses, and the Critical Care Ultrasonography Certificate of Completion Program remains well-subscribed. At the annual meetings, ultrasonography is popular in the simulation training area, plus ultrasonography has been featured prominently in general sessions, PG courses, and the fellows’ course. The ultrasound working group has submitted applications for new courses at the new Innovation and Simulation Center in Glenview, Illinois, in 2014. These include courses designed for fellowship program directors, hospitalists, advanced practice clinicians, and a course on transesophageal echocardiography. In tandem, CHEST has published a series of high-quality articles on critical care ultrasonography and has initiated the Ultrasound Corner, a monthly feature presenting an instructive case.

The ACCP ultrasound working group is pleased to announce a new course, Advanced Critical Care Echocardiography. This 3-day course will be held in New York City from May 31 through June 2 and is the first such course in North America. The skillful ACCP faculty will be joined by two preeminent French echocardiographers, Drs. Antoine Veillard-Baron and Michel Slama, to give learners an exceptional educational experience.

There are several reasons why the development of ultrasonography at the ACCP has been so successful. In 2007, the College funded a consensus meeting with the Société de Réanimation de Langue Française that resulted in the Statement on Competence in Critical Care Ultrasonography. This was followed by College support of an international consensus meeting on training standards in which the competence statement was established as the foundation document for training. This has been very helpful in designing course content. Simultaneously, the College provided unstinting administrative and financial support for course and faculty development. Another major factor has been the expert guidance provided by the education staff at the College. Their emphasis on well-defined learning objectives, identification of learner needs, standardization of cognitive content, faculty training, and formal testing of training effect has resulted in iterative quality improvement in course design. The ultrasound working group thanks the College for guidance of the ultrasound program and for the early requirement that every course include testing for learning effect. With this approach, failure points are identified, and corrective action is taken to improve teaching function at each subsequent course. This continuous commitment to improving the education process has resulted in favorable faculty ratios for hands-on training; organized training sessions for the faculty; standardization of teaching methods and curriculum; assigned training supervisors; and the development of a cohesive, effective, and motivated faculty group.

The ultrasound working group looks forward to continued activity and growth at the new Innovation and Simulation Center and to presenting the first North American course on Advanced Critical Care Echocardiography this spring in New York City.
Sleep Medicine
Survey results
Last year, the Sleep Medicine Network sent out a survey to its members to explore their relative comfort in managing different types of patients who may be seen in practice and the degree to which they encouraged referral of such patients to their practices. Though one could certainly debate the validity of the measurement tool, there were two main goals in collecting these data: we were hoping to debunk the commonly propagated myth that pulmonary sleep specialists “only like to manage apnea” and that we are also planning on developing sessions at the CHEST meeting to focus on those areas in which our members were least comfortable.

One hundred and fifty Network members responded to the survey. Unsurprisingly, 93% reported that they were extremely comfortable managing obstructive sleep apnea; but we were surprised to see that the next most “comfortable” area was restless legs syndrome, followed by central sleep apnea, and circadian rhythm disorders. Narcolepsy, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea, insomnia, and management of the psychiatric patient with sleep problems rounded out the list. Based upon these data, the steering committee is planning a broader slate of sleep-related educational opportunities at CHEST 2013, with focus on some of the areas identified by our membership as areas in which they were less comfortable.

The steering committee has also started an online journal club, available through the College’s e-Community. Each month, one of our members will post a brief commentary on a recent sleep medicine publication. The conversation has been robust, and we hope you will join in!

Dr. David Schulman, FCCP, Chair

Occupational and Environmental Lung Disease Conference
Course coming in June
From respiratory health hazards in the home and workplace to outdoor air pollution and global warming, the Occupational and Environmental Lung Disease Conference 2013 will cover everything you need to know about respiratory exposures and their effects on human health. Hear the most important new knowledge in the field and the clinical updates essential for patient care. This targeted intensive educational immersion in occupational and environmental lung diseases is a “can’t miss” course for pulmonary clinicians and others. This multidisciplinary conference will bring together an expert faculty of educators and investigators. The last time this course was held was in 1999 – so don’t miss this one! Go to the College’s website, chestnet.org, to find all the information you need about this course in Toronto, Canada, on June 21-23.

Dr. Ware Kuchar, FCCP

Palliative and End-of-Life Care
How to make ethics consultations in hospitals more helpful and accessible
The practice of hospital clinical ethics is maturing. From the earliest days of hospital ethics committees to today (Rothman. Strangers at the Bedside, 2003), the practice of hospital clinical ethics consultation (CEC) has become ubiquitous (Fox et al. Am J Bioethics. 2007;7[2]:13; Hurst et al. Health Care Anal. 2007;15[4]:321; Nagao et al. BMC Med Ethics. 2008;9[2]). Currently, most hospitals have ethics committees that perform consultations.

Physicians do not call ethics consultations for many reasons: They too much time, might make the situation worse, or will be unqualiﬁed (DuVal et al. J Gen Intern Med. 2004; 19:251). These published data are inconsistent with the authors’ experience, as we consult on over 300 cases annually, but are consistent with what physicians elsewhere report. At the 2013 North American Burn Society meeting, burn surgeons said they did not typically call consultations because they did not find them helpful; and when they did, the services were not available in a timely fashion.

The problem, we think, is a result of how the whole field of clinical ethics has evolved. The “facilitative” model has dominated (ASBH Core Competencies, Vol. 2). One might muse that if there haven’t been qualiﬁed clinical ethicists, then simply facilitating the relevant parties in coming to their own recommendations was prudent. But today we know what a qualiﬁed clinical ethicist looks like (Acres et al. J Clin Ethics. 2012;23[2]:156) and what processes are needed to hire one (Mokwunye et al. HEC Forum. 2010;22[1]:31). Hospitals need to stop relying completely on ethics committee members, the vast majority of whom are untrained volunteers.

Instead, hospitals need to start building clinical ethics programs. Just hiring one qualiﬁed clinical ethicist would allow for training for the ethics committee (Edelstein et al. HEC Forum. 2009;21[4]:34; Mokwunye et al. HEC Forum. 2012;23[2]:147), hospital-wide ethics education, and the establishment of upstream clinical ethics practices (DeRzeno et al. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 2006;15[2]:207). Once a hospital makes these changes, physicians will ﬁnd they have better access to a helpful, full-service clinical ethics program that provides timely consultative services.

Dr. Nneka O. Mokwunye
Steering Committee Member
Dr. Evan G. DeRzeno

Respiratory Care
Unique Liaisons
Did you know this Network has unique liaisons from the ACCP community? Here is a brief description of these organizations with their liaisons from the ACCP:

AARC-BOMA: American Association for Respiratory Care – Board of Medical Advisors (aarc.org)

The ACCP is an association for respiratory care professionals and allied health specialists interested in cardiopulmonary care. The ACCP is committed to enhancing professionalism of respiratory care practitioners, improving performance, and helping to broaden the practitioners’ scope of knowledge. The ACCP publishes AACR Times and Respiratory Care.

AARC-BOMA liaisons: Dr. Robert Aranson, FCCP; Dr. Kent L. Christopher, RRT, FCCP; Dr. Woody V. Kageler, FCCP; and Dr. Harold Manning, FCCP.

CoARC: Commission on Accreditation for Respiratory Care (coarc.com)

CoARC’s mission is to promote high quality respiratory care education through accreditation services. The CoARC accredits first professional respiratory care degree programs at the Associate,
for excellence in providing credentialing examinations and associated services to the respiratory community. The NBRC’s CRT examination is currently the basis for state licensure for RTs in 49 states. Through its Continuing Competency Program, the NBRC demonstrates compliance with the accreditation standards of the National Commission for Certifying Agencies.

NBRC Liaisons: Dr. Robert A. Balk, FCCP; Dr. Brian W. Carlin, FCCP (also the current NBRC Vice President); Dr. David A. Kaminsky, FCCP; Dr. Carl Kaplan, FCCP; and Dr. Robert A. May, FCCP.

Dr. Herbert Patrick, FCCP, Chair
Dr. Kevin M. O’Neil, FCCP, Vice-Chair

Home Care

Home sleep testing

The field of sleep medicine is evolving in multiple ways. One critical change involves the growing, and increasingly mandated, adoption of home sleep testing (HST) for the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). In a comprehensive review in 2003 by the ATS, AASM, and ACCP, HST was considered acceptable when attended, but its widespread use discouraged. A decision by Medicare to approve HST as an acceptable diagnostic modality paved the way for a more widespread adoption of HST. Recent data emerged that seemed to suggest that HST has acceptable degree of specificity and sensitivity in diagnosing OSA, but it was also clear that such results were seen only in a carefully selected and circumscribed population of patients without significant comorbidity and with high pretest probability of OSA. The broader applicability of such results is hence unclear. Advantages to HST are convenience, better patient acceptance, low barrier to deployment, and lower cost. Disadvantages include data loss, a large percentage of indeterminate study results, misdiagnosis—both false-positive and false-negative, and finally, inability to determine effects on sleep architecture, as well as diagnose comorbid sleep conditions. Important concerns regarding HST have been raised that include the lack of large outcome studies and lack of external validity. A clinical guidelines paper by the AASM portable monitoring task force highlights the limitations and constrictions of HST. The key elements include selecting patients with high pretest probability and excluding patients with moderate to severe pulmonary disease, neuromuscular disease, and congestive heart failure, or when other sleep disorders are either suspected or comorbid.

Issues to consider as one incorporates an HST strategy include selecting the appropriate equipment and outlining an appropriate triage and distribution plan that includes an appropriate chain of custody. A recent paper from the AASM, published in the Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine, categorizes the different systems on the basis of a SCOPER system, to enable a ready comparison of the features across different systems. Factors that need to be considered would include costs, not only of the equipment itself, but more importantly of the disposables, as well as data management and software integration with your existing platform.

Dr. Shyam Subramanian, FCCP
Vice-Chair

Selected References
Dr. Shyam Subramanian, FCCP
Vice-Chair

NetWork members: Join the conversation in the ACCP e-Community today! Find us at ecommunity.chestnet.org.
PULMONARY PERSPECTIVES: Bronchiectasis—a resurgence

Bronchiectasis, once characterized as an orphan disease, is experiencing resurgence in North America and around the world. Pulmonary physicians are encountering increasing numbers of patients who need evaluation and treatment for their bronchiectasis and the resultant infectious and noninfectious complications of the permanently damaged airways.

Bronchiectasis is defined as permanent dilatation of bronchi and bronchioles with associated airway wall damage; it is thought to be a consequence of the vicious cycle of infection and inflammation.

Bronchiectasis is found in patients across the span of age (pediatric to geriatric) and in both genders and all ethnic groups. Women are more frequently affected than men in North America, and older adults have a higher prevalence than children and the young and middle-aged.

Patients with bronchiectasis present to their physicians with chronic cough, usually productive of significant mucus. CT scan of the chest with high resolution cuts (HRCT) is the gold standard for diagnosing bronchiectasis; some patients will be referred to pulmonologists because a CT scan, done for a different clinical indication, demonstrates bronchiectatic findings (Figure).

Recognizing bronchiectasis

Patients with bronchiectasis usually have a chronic productive cough; other symptoms are wheezing, exertional dyspnea, and hemoptysis. Some patients with bronchiectasis have a nagging dry cough. Patients are often initially diagnosed as having recurrent bronchitis or pneumonia; imaging studies are needed to confirm the presence of bronchiectasis. Although bronchiectasis may be suspected based on plain chest radiographic imaging, HRCT scan is the diagnostic imaging study that confirms the presence of bronchiectasis. High resolution thin cut slices through the chest are needed to detect more subtle findings in bronchiectasis and to help characterize the severity and extent of the disease. Traditionally, bronchiectasis is characterized as cylindrical, varicose, or cystic based on the CT findings of airway involvement and associated destruction of surrounding lung tissue.

Bacterial infection of bronchiectatic airways is common, and bacterial cultures of expectorated sputum should be obtained in all patients who are diagnosed with bronchiectasis. If expectorated sputum is not available, induced sputum collection or bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage may be necessary to obtain adequate material for culture. About one-third of patients with bronchiectasis is infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Haemophilus influenzae and other gram-negative bacteria are also exceedingly common. Some patients with bronchiectasis are infected with gram-positive organisms, including Streptococcus pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus. In North America, nontuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) organisms are frequently cultured from the respiratory secretions of patients with bronchiectasis. Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) is the most commonly encountered NTM organism; rapid growing organisms like Mycobacterium abscessus are also seen but in fewer patients.

The pathophysiology of infection in bronchiectasis is not entirely clear. Whether the infection precipitates bronchiectasis, particularly in the case of NTM infection, or whether NTM infection is a consequence of bronchiectasis has not yet been conclusively determined (Griffith and Aksamit. Clin Chest Med. 2012;33(2):283). There are some radiographic correlations between infecting organisms and severity of bronchiectasis; P. aeruginosa is associated with more severe disease and cystic bronchiectasis. MAC infection is often associated with nodular bronchiectasis, particularly in the right middle lobe and lingula, significant mucus plugging of the airways, and “tree in bud” small airway mucus impaction.

Causes

Many congenital and acquired systemic and pulmonary diseases can cause bronchiectasis, but over 50% of cases are thought to be idiopathic. Another 25% to 30% of patients with bronchiectasis had a prior chest infection (recent or remote) that resulted in the damaged airways. Genetic causes of bronchiectasis include cystic fibrosis, primary ciliary dyskinesia, congenital disorders of humoral immunity, inherited connective tissue disorders, and alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency. Acquired immunodeficiency syndromes, swallowing dysfunction/aspiration or gastroesophageal reflux, rheumatologic disorders, and inflammatory bowel disease also cause bronchiectasis. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis is associated with central “finger in glove” bronchiectasis. Determining an etiology for the bronchiectasis can be important for prognosis and treatment decisions. Of note, an association between bronchiectasis and moderate...
Chronic maintenance antibiotic therapy may be indicated for patients who experience exacerbation (and require systemic antibiotic therapy) more than two or three times per year. All antibiotic therapy, whether maintenance or for exacerbations, should be targeted at the organisms known to be present on culture.

Care must be taken to evaluate the patient for nontuberculous mycobacterial infection. Not all patients infected with NTM organisms require treatment, but if antibiotic treatment is indicated, it must be done with careful attention to efficacy and side effects. Surgery is a consideration for localized bronchiectasis to control otherwise untreatable bleeding or to debulk the worst areas of infection.

Other supportive treatments for patients with bronchiectasis include appropriate immunizations and nutritional support, if needed. If there is an identified primary treatable cause of bronchiectasis, such as immunoglobulin deficiency, AAT deficiency, or allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, then treatment aimed at those disorders may help to control the bronchiectasis.

**Conclusion**

Bronchiectasis has experienced a resurgence in North America, and pulmonologists must be prepared to diagnose and treat patients and to keep our primary care colleagues up to date about bronchiectasis. Helpful reference/guideline publications include a comprehensive review (Clin Chest Med. 2012;33(2):31-404) and British Thoracic Society Guidelines (Thorax. 2010;65(suppl 1):1-58).

The Bronchiectasis Research Registry (cscc.unc.edu/bron), sponsored by the COPD Foundation, is currently enrolling patients in a multicenter database in order to better understand the demographics and natural history of this heterogeneous condition. Clinical trials for new therapies are currently underway (clinicaltrials.gov), and pulmonary specialists are encouraged to refer their patients for enrollment in studies aimed at understanding this “old” but new disease.

**Dr. Anne E. O’Donnell, FCCP**

Professor of Medicine

Chief, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine

Georgetown University Hospital

Washington, DC
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**PROFESSIONAL OPPORTUNITIES**

---

**Louisville, KENTUCKY**

8 person well established single specialty Pulmonology group in Louisville, KY seeking pulm/cc physician. Competitive salary and partnership track. Opportunity to practice inpatient and outpatient pulmonary & critical care. Sleep medicine available but not a must. Please send CV to: kvanderpool@kpadocs.com

---

**FLORIDA**

Pulmonologist needed to join a respected and well established single specialty group in Celebration, Florida. Should be highly motivated and skilled in doing a full range of Adult Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine. Additional training in Sleep Medicine or advanced diagnostic pulmonary will be a plus. Interested Candidates call Jacqueline Blanco at 407-303-4415 or send CV to Jacqueline@floridalungdoctors.com

---

**Disclaimer**

Chest Physician assumes the statements made in classified advertisements are accurate, but cannot investigate the statements and assumes no responsibility or liability concerning their content. The Publisher reserves the right to decline, withdraw, or edit advertisements. Every effort will be made to avoid mistakes, but responsibility cannot be accepted for clerical or printer errors.
Why is this patient short of breath?

A simple, six-minute in-office test can help you find out with no capital risk to your practice.

In just six minutes Shape® can help drill down to the root cause of exertional dyspnea – right in the clinic. Shape is simple, objective and intuitive. With our pay-per-procedure plan there’s no cost for the device. Shape elevates cardiopulmonary exercise testing to a new level.

Learn more by calling 1-888-SHAPE98 (888-742-7398) or by visiting www.shapemedsystems.com.